SoCal Chapter

Air Barrier & Continuous Insulation

Presented by:

Karim P. Allana, PE, RRC, RWC

Allana Buick & Bers, Inc. CEO & President, Sr. Principal

2019 Hawaii Winter Workshop – OAHU
Building Enclosure QA/QC
Hilton Hawaiian Village, Honolulu, HI

14 & 15 January | 2019

Credit(s) earned on completion of this course will be reported to AIA CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request.

This course is registered with AIA CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.

Karim P. Allana, PE, RRC, RWC

Education: B.S., Civil Engineering, Santa Clara University

Registration: P.E., Civil Engineering, California, Washington, Nevada, and Hawaii

Certification: Registered Roof Consultant (RRC), Roof Consultants Institute, and Registered Waterproofing Consultant (RWC)

Overview:

- CEO and Senior Principal at Allana Buick & Bers.
- Former Turner Construction Employee (Project Engineering and Superintendent)
- Over 37 years experience providing superior technical standards in all aspects of building technology and energy efficiency.
- Principal consultant in forensic investigations of building assemblies, failure analysis, evaluation and design of building infrastructure and building envelope evaluation and design.
- Expert in all aspects of building envelope technology.
- Completed numerous new construction, addition, rehabilitation, remodel and modernization projects for public and private sector clients.
- Specialization in siding, roofing, cement plaster, wood, water intrusion damage, window assemblies, storefronts, below grade waterproofing, energy efficiency, solar engineering and complex building envelope and mechanical assemblies.

Presentation Overview

- Air Barrier and Continuous Insulation
- Air Barrier Testing for Enclosure Performance

Air Barriers and Continuous Insulation Requirements

2006 IECC

- STATE ADOPTIONS
- Alaska, Tennessee
- Maui County*
- Honolulu County*
- Hawaii County*
- *Have independent and
- separate amendments
- Air Barrier Overall Requirements
- Residential vs. Commercial requirements
- General, non-quantative building envelope requirements
- Testing of the building envelope air barrier not required
- Quantified air leakage limitations for fenestration and doors with testing requirements.
- Not climate zone dependent



2012 IECC
Air Barrier/Leakage Rates

- Assemblies of materials and components that have an average air leakage not exceeding 0.04 cfm/sf, under a pressure differential of 1.57 psf, when tested in accordance with ASTM E2357,E1677, E1680, or E283; or
- Exception to Section CEC Section 14.3(a)9B if all joints are sealed and all of the materials are installed as air barriers in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.
- Consistent with air leakage req in IECC (140.3(a)9B)

2015 IECC Code Adoption

- In March 2017 State of Hawaii Adopted the 2015 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)
- Counties were provided a two year period to adopt
- Full adoption will occur in 2019
- Currently, most federal government projects require air barriers
- Air Barriers are required in both Residential and Commercial Construction

Continuous Insulation Defined

- *Insulation that is continuous across assemblies that separate conditioned from unconditioned space. It is installed on the exterior or interior or is integral to any opaque surface of the building envelope and has no thermal bridges other than fasteners and necessary service openings.*

  -2013 Title 24, Part 6

- *Insulation that is installed in such a that is continuous and is uninterrupted by framing members or other construction elements that would reduce the thermal resistance of the insulation.*

  -2004 ASHRAE 90.1 User's Manual

R Value Reductions; Why Code is Requiring It!

TABLE A9.2-2 Effective Insulation/Framing Layer R-Values for Wall Insulation Installed Between Steel Framing

Nominal Depth of Cavity, in.	Actual Depth of Cavity, in.	Rated R-Value of Airspace or Insulation	Effective Framing/Cavity R-Value at 16 in. on Center	Effective Framing/Cavity R-Value at 24 in. on Center
Empty Cavity, No Insulation				
4	3.5	R-0.91	0.79	0.91
Insulated Cavity				
4	3.5	R-11	5.5	6.6
4	3.5	R-13	6.0	7.2
4	3.5	R-15	6.4	7.8
6	6.0	R-19	7.1	8.6
6	6.0	R-21	7.4	9.0
8	8.0	R-25	7.8	9.6

Metal framed wall assemblies require a reduction factor.

Typical Exterior Insulation



Traditional Insulated Wall Section



Typical Continuous Exterior Insulation



Continuous Insulated Wall Section

Smaller Thermal Anomalies

How do we Solve the CI challenge?

- Material Selection

- Design Consideration



Material Selection Considerations

- R-value
- Air and vapor permeability
- Moisture resistance
- Composite qualities (i.e. – integral cladding, weather resistant barrier, air barrier, interior vapor barrier)
- Fire Resistance
- UV Resistance (for open joint assemblies)
- Furring and Effective R Reductions

Continuous Insulation Options



R Value

- <u>Insulation Panels and Materials</u>
- ccSPF – Closed Cell Spray Polyurethane
- PolyIso – Polyisocysanurate
- XPS – Extruded Polystyrene
- Mineral Wool Insulation
- EPS – Expanded Polystyrene

- <u>Insulation Panel Enhancements</u>
- Foil Facing
- Plywood Facing
- Reinforced Cementitious Coating Faced

Insulation Panel Manufacturers

Manufacturer	Product Name	R / inch	Perms
A	A	6	< 0.3
B	B	5.0 6.5	1.5 < 0.3
C	C	3.85	> 2.0
D	D	5.2 *	1.5
E	E	5.0 *	0.2
F	F	4.3	27.2
G	G	4.2	50
H	H	6.62 **	1.39
I	I	6.0 *	< 1.0
J	J	6.0 *	< 1.0

* Based on LTTR
** 6.62 – 6.9 Based of Formulation

Insulation Assembly Manufacturers

Manufacturer	Product Name	R / inch	Perms
EIFS – Exterior Insulating Foam System			
A	A	3.6	Varies
B	B	3.8	Varies
C	C	N P	N P
D	D	N P	N P
SIPS – Structural Insulated Panel			
E	E	3.6	0.5
F	F	3.5	< 1.0
G	G	4.2	< 1.0
ICF – Insulated Concrete Forms			
H	H	5 - system	N P
I	I	1.8 / 4 *	0.624
J	J	N P	0.63
K	K	2.4 / 3.8 *	2.3

Continuous Insulation Composites

- Insulated Concrete Forms

- Structural Insulated Panel System



- Exterior Insulated Foam System

Metal Panels With Continuous Insulation





- These types of system requires clips and mechanical fasteners that bridges heat.
- Adhered Insulation like EIFS does not require fasteners.

Wall Section Real R Value

E4

EXTERIOR WALL
METAL SIDING
(TYPE 1A)



HORIZONTAL METAL SIDING
(A) AEP PRESTIGE METAL PANEL SYSTEM OR
(B) STANDING SEAM METAL SIDING,
¾" VERTICAL FURRING CHANNELS @ 24" O.C. GALV. STEEL
2 1/2" (R-15) POLYISO RIGID INSUL
WEATHER BARRIER (PER SPEC)
5/8" TYPE 'X' GWB SHEATHING
6" STEEL STUD @16" O.C. WALL (PER STRUCTURAL)
R-21 BATT INSULATION
5/8" TYPE 'X' GWB
PVA PRIMER

E-4					
Material	Thickness	Perms	Pub. R-Value	Reduction	Eff. R-Value
Metal Cladding	1.5	0.01	0	0	0
Air Space	0.75	100	0.25	0	0.25
Foil Faced PolyIso with Z girts 16 inches on center *1	2.5	0.01	15	0.74	3.9
WRB/AB	0	23	0	0	0
Sheathing (Gyp)	0.625	50	0.5	0	0.5
Unfaced Batt with metal studs 16 inches on center *2	6	70	21	0.65	7.4
Sheathing (Gyp)	0.625	50	0.5	0	0.5
PVA Primer and Paint	0	0.5	0	0	0
Inside Air	0	0	0.68	0	0.68
	12		37.93		13.23
			Base Line		Base Line

San Diego International Airport Continuous Insulation Mock-Up

Continuous Insulation System for SD Airport


09/15/2011 07:40


DUPONT
Tyvek
COMMERCIAL WRAP
DUPONT


09/16/2011 07:18


DUPONT
Tyvek
Reynobond
09/16/2011 07:29

Thermal Bridges

- Because metal is a terrific conductor of heat, thermal bridges increase the U-value of an assembly
- In a side by side comparison, metal stud framing is 15 - 50% less efficient than wood framing
- Z-girts through continuous insulation assemblies increase the U-values of the assembly by 20-40%
- R-21 with Z-girts = R-15eff



Z-Girt and Canopy Support



Graphic from ASHRAE-D-RP-1365

Air Barrier Basics

Air Barrier Defined

- "Materials assembled and joined together to provide a barrier to air leakage through the building envelope. An air barrier may be a single material or a combination of materials."

  -2015 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)

- A system of materials combined to form continuous control of the air leakage of a building.

  -Air Barrier Association

- Air barriers define the location of the pressure boundary of the building enclosure.

  -Joseph Lstiburek of the Building Science Corporation

How Does Air Leakage Occur



Figure 1: Examples of infiltration. Image courtesy: Building Science Corporation, www.buildingscience.com

Material vs. Assembly vs. System

- Air Barriers are commonly defined and tested in three categories:
- As a Material
- As an Assembly (network of materials)
- As a System (network of assemblies)

Material vs. Assembly vs. System

- Air Barrier Material Testing Requirements

ASTM E2178-11 Standard Test Method for *Air Permeance* of Building Materials.

< 0.02 L/(s•m²) @ 75 Pa (0.004 cfm/ft² @ 1.57 lb/ft²)

- Air Barrier Assembly Testing Requirements

ASTM E2357-11 Standard Test Method for Determining *Air Leakage* of Air Barrier Assemblies

<0.2 L/(s•m2) @ 75 Pa (0.04 cfm/ft2 @ 1.57 lb/ft2)

- *Air permeance is the amount of air that migrates through a material, whereas…*
- *Air leakage is the air that passes through holes or gaps*

Material vs. Assembly vs. System

Air Barrier System (Building) Testing Requirements

- ASTM E779-10: Standard Test Method for Determining Air Leakage Rate by Fan Pressurization

  2015 IECC - Energy Code Requires:

  < 0.40 cfm/ft² @ 1.57 lb/ft²

  US Army Corps of Engineers Requires:

  < 0.25 cfm/ft² @ 1.57 lb/ft²

- ASTM E1827-11: Standard Test Methods for Determining Airtightness of Buildings Using an Orifice Blower Door
- ASTM E283-04: Standard Test Method for Determining Rate of Air Leakage Through Exterior Windows, Curtain Walls, and Doors Under Specified Pressure Differences Across the Specimen
- ****Testing can be done by Unit(s), Floor(s) or Building. If air barrier testing is planned by unit, detailing and continuity needs to be by unit.*

Air Barrier Techniques and Materials

3 Typical Air Barrier Approaches

- Air Tight Drywall and Framing
- Exterior Sheathing
- Exterior Weather Resistive Barrier
- *Most successful approach is a combination of approaches*

Air Barrier Approaches



Interior Drywall Approach



Exterior Sheathing



Exterior Barrier

Air Tight Drywall and Framing Approach



Taken from Moisture Control Handbook

- Requires taped seams, spray foam, sealants and other air barrier transition components

Air Tight Drywall and Framing Approach

Pros

- Controls the entry of interior, moisture laden air from entering into wall cavity
- Can be enhanced with ccSPF
- Repaired easily
- Inspected visually and tested easily
- Lower cost

Cons

- Does not control exterior humidity from reaching interior cool surfaces
- Humid air from outside can condense on interior surfaces (Not recommended for Hawaii)
- Easily damaged by occupant usage
- Demising walls require detailing
- Several trades involved in the proper installation of the entire system

Exterior Sheathing Approach



Taken from Moisture Control Handbook

- Plywood
- OSB
- Gypsum Board
- Requires tapped seams, spray foam, sealants and other air barrier transition components

Seal Joints and Gaps

Seal Joints and Gaps in Sheathing

Thoroughly Seal Gaps in Sheathing

Sealing Sheathing Joints

Tape, Mud or Seal Joints and Gaps

Seal Joints and Gaps

Exterior Sheathing Approach Pros and Cons

Pros

- Cost Effective
- Can be enhanced with ccSPF
- Controls both air and vapor
- One – two trade installation
- Inspected visually and tested / repaired easily
- Controls wind-washing of insulation

Cons

- Building Movement Could Create Discontinuity
- Joint Treatment may be Weather Sensitive
- Subject to construction damage / penetrations after installation
- Requires weather resistant barrier to control moisture

Exterior Weather Resistant Barrier Approach



Taken from Moisture Control Handbook

- Liquid Applied Coatings
- Non-Adhered Membranes
- Adhered Membranes
  - Requires tapped seams, spray foam, sealants and other air barrier transition components

Liquid Applied WRB and Air Barrier Mock-Up

Liquid Applied with Self Adhered Flashings

Window sealed with Flexible Flashings

Liquid Applied Approach Pros and Cons

Pros

- Controls air, vapor and moisture
- One trade installation
- Inspected visually and tested / repaired easily
- Controls wind-washing of insulation
- Controls exterior humidity (Hawaii)
- Nail Seal-ability
- Seamless

Cons

- Potential Adherence Issues with Multiple Substrates
- Blistering
- Requires substrate board
- May Require Crack Bridging Characteristics
- Current total system cost higher than other approaches

Adhesion Issue

Blistering

Air and Water Barriers Manufacturers

- Permeable and Semi Permeable Fluid Applied:

Manufacturer	Product Name	Perms Dry / Wet	Air Leakage
A	A	0.08 / 5.85	0.0066
B	B	11.48/24.23	0.0036
C	C	0.09 / 0.03	<0.004 <0.004
D	D	0.57 / 36.12 0.23 / 1.02	0.004 0.0029
E	E	4.27 / 5.49	0.0064
F	F	0.828 / 9.2	0.0548
G	G	2.52 / 10.5	0.016
H	H	2.52 / 5.7	0.016
I	I	na / na na / na	0.0026 0.0035

Non-Adhered Membrane, All Seams Taped

Non-Adhered Membrane Approach

Pros

- Controls air, vapor and moisture
- One trade installation
- Inspected visually and tested / repaired easily
- Controls exterior humidity
- Potential for Nail Seal-ability
- Homogenous materials
- Not Weather or Temp dependent
- Large rolls = Fast installation

Cons

- Potential UV exposure issues if left un-cladded
- Potential blow off issues if left un-cladded
- Seams require taping
- May require substrate board to resistant inward and outward pressures
- Requires different fastenings than WRB installation
- Integration of flexible flashings

Air and Water Barriers Manufacturers, cont.

- Non-Adhered Sheet Assemblies

Manufacturer	Product Name	Perms Dry / Wet	Air Leakage
A	A	25.31/32.68 42.65/42.48 56 / 54	0.0023 0.00225 Pass
B	B	12.33 / np 13.52 / np 45.45 / np	<0.001 <0.001 <0.0001
C	C	np / 212 np / 50	Not A.B. <0.0094
D	D	10 / np 12 / np	Not Test'd Not Test'd

Adhered Membrane WRB and Air Barrier

WRB/AB –Self Adhered Sheet

Pros

- Controls air, vapor and moisture
- One trade installation
- Inspected visually and tested / repaired easily
- Controls wind-washing of insulation
- Potential for Nail Seal-ability
- Homogenous materials
- No blow off issues

Cons

- Potential UV exposure issues if left un-cladded
- Requires substrate board
- May require primer
- Higher cost
- Heavier rolls
- Compatibility issues with other air barrier components

Air and Water Barriers Manufacturers

- Self Adhered Sheet Assemblies –
- Vapor Permeable
- Vapor Barrier are acceptable in Hawaii

Manufacturer	Product Name	Perms Dry / Wet	Air Leakage
A	A	np / 0.05	0.0002
B	B	0.03 / 0.86	0.006
C	C	np / .047	0.0013
D	D	0.05 / np	<0.0009
E	E	np / 50	<0.0001
F	F	np / 50	<0.0001
G	G	np/ >15	<0.004

SAM, Who is this guy on my details?

- Self adhered membrane is meant to supplement the WRB by:
  - Acting as a transition between details
    - Through wall flashings at grade
    - Head flashings
    - Window sill and jambs
    - Penetrations
  - Providing "self sealing" at highly nailed regions of the WRB for air and water
    - Brick ties
    - Trim
    - "z" girts
  - Providing a higher level of water resistance at horizontal projections

Air Barrier & CI Design Considerations

- Location of the Air Barrier / WRB
- Thermal Bridging
- Edge treatments and terminations
- Sequencing and Testing – Review of WRB

Interior Air Seal is Often Required in Fenestration



Interior Air Seal Missing

Interior Air Seal Missing

Back Dam Not High Enough

Expansion Joints: Difficult to Get Air & Water Tight

Mineral Wool and Self Adhered WRB

Brick Tie Back Attachment – Knife Plate

Hat Channel
Over Continuous Insulation

Edge Treatments and Terminations

- Insulation requires a designed solution at it's terminations
- Windows and Doors
- Floor Line Flashings
- Z-girts
- Soffits and Parapets
- Let's review Windows and Z-Girts as they are most typical

Window Jamb


nT
nA
4
WALL ASSEMBLY, S.A.D.
ALIGN
FINISH AT COLUMNS, S.A.D.
S.A.D. FOR INTERIORS
BACKER ROD AND SEALANT
SHIM
BACKER ROD
STEEL REINF. AS REQUIRED
'F' HEAD AND SILL ANCHOR
NOMINAL 1"
1 5/8"
3-1/4"
5/8"
3 1/8"
ALUMINUM MULLION SYSTEM
BACKER ROD AND SEALANT
GLASS-MAT GYPSUM WALL SHEATHING
RIGID INSULATION
WEATHER RESISTIVE BARRIER
COMPOSITE WALL PANEL
SELF-ADHERING FLASHING
CONTINUOUS PRE-CURED SILICONE STRIP, SET IN A FULL BED OF SILICONE SEALANT OVER SELF-ADHERING FLASHING
COMPOSITE WALL PANEL TERMINATION AT TYPICAL VERTICAL STOREFRONT JAMB
SCALE: N.T.S.
FILE:
6
A651

Window Head



Window Sill


BACKER ROD AND SEALANT
SHIM UNDER MULLIONS AND JAMBS
ALUMINUM MULLION SYSTEM
BACKER ROD
NOTCH "TONGUES" 1" h AT EACH END ALL VERTICAL MEMBERS TO ALLOW PASSAGE OF AIR BARRIER MEMBRANE
NORTON V2100 SPACER GASKET WITH PSA (1) SIDE ADHERED TO PRESSURE BAR, TYP. AT PERIMETER
3/8"
BACKER ROD AND SEALANT
5/8"
PANEL CLIP WHERE REQUIRED, FASTENED TO ZEE
SHIM AS REQUIRED
CONTINUOUS PRE-CURED SILICONE STRIP, SET IN A FULL BED OF SILICONE SEALANT OVER SELF-ADHERING FLASHING
7/8" STAINLESS STEEL METAL ZEE CANTILEVERED OVER MOVEMENT JOINT
SELF-ADHERING FLASHING
SCREWS FOR ZEE SHALL NOT PENETRATE TOP TRACK
COMPOSITE WALL PANEL
nA
4

Z - Girt



WRB / Air Barrier over Insulation with Hat Channels

WRB / Air Barrier Under the Insulation

Air Barrier Testing

Air Barrier System Test Standards

- **ASTM E779-10**: Standard test method for determining air leakage rate by fan pressurization
- **ASTM E1827-11**: Standard test methods for determining airtightness of buildings using an orifice blower door
- **ASTM E283-04**: Standard test method for determining rate of air leakage through exterior windows, curtain walls, and doors under specified pressure differences across the specimen
- **ASTM E1186**: Standard practices for air leakage site detection in building envelopes and air barrier systems

ASTM E779
Whole Building Fan Pressurization

ASTM E779
Whole Building Fan Pressurization

ASTM E1186 – 4.2.1
Positive Pressurization



ASTM E1186 – 4.2.1 Depressurization





ASTM E1186 – 4.2.6 Smoke Tracers

No Air Leakage

Air Leakage



Elevator Core – Diagnosing Air Leakage



Mail Boxes – Diagnosing Air Leakage



Diagnosing Air Leakage



Mahalo!

Karim Allana, PE, RRC, RWC
karim@abbae.com